

Fact sheet: Editing eprints

Go to your review buffer by clicking "Review"



home about browse search latest help

Logged in as Rasmussen, Ilse | Manage deposits | Manage records | Profile | Saved searches | **Review** | Admin | Logout

Open an item by clicking on the magnifying glass.

Review

Last Status Change	Last Modified	Title	EPrint Type	Item ID	Depositing User	Research affiliation	
19 Aug 2011 16:52	19 Aug 2011 16:52	Implementation Action Plan for organic food and farming research	Report	19306	Padel, Dr Susanne	International Organizations > International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements IFOAM > IFOAM EU Group International Organizations > Technology Platform TP Organics	
19 Aug 2011 14:21	19 Aug 2011 14:21	Molecular diversity of the <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> lineage in an agricultural field.	Journal paper	19302	Meyling, Nicolai V.	Denmark > DARCOF III (2005-2010) > VEGQURE - Organic cropping Systems for Vegetable production	
18 Aug 2011 17:07	18 Aug 2011 17:07	Vervolgonderzoek verhoogde nikkelgehalten in grondwater in de provincie Flevoland	Report	19213	Hospers-Brands, M.Sc. M.	Netherlands > Louis Bolk Institute	
17 Aug 2011 20:41	17 Aug 2011 20:41	Einstellung und Kaufverhalten der Türkischen Konsumenten in Bio-Lebensmitteln	Thesis	19296	Kement, Engineer Nuray	Turkey	
17 Aug 2011 11:06	17 Aug 2011 11:06	Workshop on quality and nutrition value of organic milk and meat	Other	17307	Steinshamn, Dr Håvard	Norway > Bioforsk - Norwegian Institute for Agriculture and Environmental Research > Bioforsk Organic Food and Farming Division Poland	

Click on the "Preview" tab to see how the eprint will appear in the live archive


View Item: [#19266] Tale of two realities: aligning growth with support in the Australian organic movement

This item is in review. It will not appear in the repository until it has been checked by an editor.

[Move to Repository](#) [Destroy item \(with notification\)](#) [Return item \(with notification\)](#)

Details	Preview	Actions	Editorial Actions	Export	History	Issues				
Type Edit item										
EPrint Type: Journal paper										
Details Edit item										
Contact Email Address: paul.kristianson@une.edu.au										
Document Language: English										
Refereed: Not peer-reviewed										
Authors: <table border="1"><tr><td>Authors</td><td>Email</td></tr><tr><td>Kristiansen, P</td><td></td></tr></table>							Authors	Email	Kristiansen, P	
Authors	Email									
Kristiansen, P										
Title: Tale of two realities: aligning growth with support in the Australian organic movement										
Status: Published										
Keywords: organic; policy; review; Australia; funding										
Summary: Issues of concern that are thought to be hampering formal organic research include the difficulties of coordinating cross-sectoral R&D, using levies to raise initial sources of funds that the government can match, and perceptions that the organic industry is small (or, ironically, no longer an emerging industry) and not unified (Prof Peter Cornish, personal communication 2010). Regardless of the causes, and there are likely to be several reasons for this 'market failure'.										


Open the attached document by clicking on the icon and save it, or open a new window with the eprint, so you can have both the document and a preview of the eprint open at the same time.



1. Verify that the eprint is related to research in organic food and farming systems
 - organic agriculture is mentioned in the title or summary (or keywords)
 - the eprint is an output from a project related to organic food and farming systems
 - if the summary does not mention organic agriculture, the author/depositor should be requested to make the relevance clear.
 - the eprint is an output from an institution, which mainly works with organic food and farming systems (ICROFS, FiBL, BÖLN, Louis Bolk, Organic Research Centre etc.)
 - if the summary does not mention organic agriculture, the author/depositor should be requested to make the relevance clear, but even if that is not possible, the eprint should be accepted.
 - the eprint is from a journal or conference, which mainly is concerned with research in organic food and farming systems (ISOFAR, Organic Agriculture, Journal of Organic Systems etc.).

2. Check that the eprint type is correct – see Fact Sheet Eprint types.

If not, click “Edit item” and change the eprint type.



IF you do this, check the Preview very closely before you accept the eprint! Sometimes information from one type of eprint is carried over to another, where it is not relevant. In that case, you need to change the type back to the original type, delete the information, and change the type back to the correct type. Check preview again!

3. Check that the bibliographic information is complete and correct – author(s), editor(s), title, title of publication, series name & number, pages, publisher etc.

Use the preview tab. Compare with the document.

View Item: [#19302] Molecular diversity of the *Metarhizium anisopliae* lineage in an agricultural field.

This item is in review. It will not appear in the repository until it has been checked by an editor.

Move to Repository Destroy item (with notification) Return item (with notification)

Details Preview Actions Editorial Actions Export History Issues

Steinwender, Bernhard M.; Enkerli, Jürg; Widmer, Franco; Eilenberg, Jørgen and Meyling, Nicolai V. (2011) Molecular diversity of the *Metarhizium anisopliae* lineage in an agricultural field. *IOBC/WPRS Bulletin*, 66, pp. 113-115.

or use the details tab. Compare with the document.

Details Edit item

Contact Email Address: nvm@life.ku.dk

Document Language: English

Refereed: Not peer-reviewed

Authors:

Authors	Email
Steinwender, Bernhard M.	
Enkerli, Jürg	
Widmer, Franco	
Eilenberg, Jørgen	
Meyling, Nicolai V	

Title: Molecular diversity of the *Metarhizium anisopliae* lineage in an agricultural field.

Status: Published

Summary: Entomopathogenic fungal isolates identified by morphology as *Metarhizium anisopliae* may belong to different species when identified by molecular characters. We isolated *Metarhizium* spp. from a Danish agricultural field using *Tenebrio molitor* as bait insect to assess the molecular diversity within the soil of a single field. Isolates were analyzed using DNA sequencing and applying SSR markers. Within the former *M. anisopliae* lineage, we found *M. brunneum* (86.3%), *M. robertsii* (11.3%) and *M. majus* (3.4%) in the soil samples. Several genotypes of each species were identified based on SSR markers. Differences in abundance of the species and their genotypes suggest different adaptations to the soil environment of the agricultural field.

Date: June 2011

Date Type: Publication

Title of Publication: IOBC/WPRS Bulletin

Volume: 66

Page Range: pp. 113-115

Unspecified fields: Summary translation, Additional Publishing Information, Commentary on Outside Item, Title translation, Online at, Related Links, Keywords, Comments and Suggestions, Issue, References, DOI, ISSN

If you in the document see relevant information such as ISSN/ISBN, DOI etc. that the author has not entered, enter the information by clicking on “Edit item” and entering into the relevant fields.

4. Check the spelling of the title and abstract. Remove surplus lineshifts, which often occur by “Copy and paste”.

View Item: [#19237] Latent infection rate of potato seed tubers with *Phytophthora infestans* (Mont.) de Bary – an underestimated problem

This item is in review. It will not appear in the repository until it has been checked by an editor.

Move to Repository Destroy item (with notification) Return item (with notification)

Details Preview Actions Editorial Actions Export History Issues

Zellner, Michael; Kell, Sven and Banker, Marianne (2011) Latent infection rate of potato seed tubers with *Phytophthora infestans* (Mont.) de Bary – an underestimated problem. *Journal fuer Kulturpflanzen*, 63 (1), pp. 13-16

PDF - Published Version
Limited to [Depositor and staff only]
2985Kb

Summary

From 2007 to 2009 applying molecular methods a total of 17 batches of seed potatoes were tested for the rates of latent infections with *Phytophthora infestans* (Mont.) de Bary, the pathogen of late blight. The samples were chosen randomly from different certified European seed potato productions. The average infection rate of the seed potatoes tested was 11%. The highest occurring rate was 38%. The given data show that there is no significant correlation between late blight susceptibility levels of varieties and rates of latent infestation. There were no statistically significant differences found between seed potatoes from organic and conventional production.

Document Type: Journal paper

Keywords: Krautfäule, Braunfäule, PCR-Test, *Solanum tuberosum*, BOEL, BÖL, BÖLN, BOELN, FKZ 030E553, FKZ 060E326

Subjects: Crop husbandry > Crop health, quality, protection

You can do this in Word by copying the text, using “Find and replace”. Find: ‘^p’ and replace with a space. Check that it looks correct, and paste it back in the eprint.

Lineshifts removed:

Summary

From 2007 to 2009 applying molecular methods a total of 17 batches of seed potatoes were tested for the rates of latent infections with *Phytophthora infestans* (Mont.) de Bary, the pathogen of late blight. The samples were chosen randomly from different certified European seed potato productions. The average infection rate of the seed potatoes tested was 11%. The highest occurring rate was 38%. The given data show that there is no significant correlation between late blight susceptibility-levels of varieties and rates of latent infestation. There were no statistically significant differences found between seed potatoes from organic and conventional production.

5. Check that the year is correct.

Date: 2010

6. Check that the language is correctly indicated as the same language that the document is in.

Document Language: Dutch - Nederlands

7. Check that the subject areas chosen are relevant

Subjects: Soil > Soil quality > Soil biology
Environmental aspects > Biodiversity and ecosystem services

Usually it is superfluous to have both the “Tree trunk” and some of the “Branches”, since the “trunk” will appear along with the “branch”:

Subjects

Subjects Farming Systems > Farm economics
Farming Systems
Values, standards and certification > Regulation

8. Check that there are not too many subject areas – more than 4 is many!

9. Check that the affiliation chosen for the eprint seems to be correct.

Affiliation

Research affiliation: Turkey

- and not too many:

Affiliation

Research affiliation: Germany > Beratung Artgerechte Tierhaltung - BAT
Germany > Federal Organic Farming Scheme - BOELN > Animals > Tiergesundheit
Germany > Research Institute of Organic Agriculture - FiBL Germany > Animal health
Germany > University of Kassel > Department of Animal Nutrition and Animal Health
Germany > Federal States > Nordrhein-Westfalen > LWK NRW
Germany > Landwirtschaftskammern > LWK NRW
Germany > Federal Research Institute for Rural Areas, Forestry and Fisheries - VTI > Institute of Organic Farming - OEL
Germany > Foundation Ecology & Agriculture - SOL

10. *Click on the links* – Online at and Related links – to make sure that they work.

PDF - Published Version
1940Kb

Online at: <http://www.bfa.com.au/IndustryResources/BFAPublications/AustralianOrganicMarketReport.aspx#10>

Summary

This is the second report the Biological Farmers of Australia has commissioned to help industry benchmark the growth and health of its sectors. This report - another decade plus history of the rapidly developing Australian certified organic sector - builds the information base for industry to benchmark production and market value, and estimates and will enable monitoring of future growth of the certified organic market in Australia and its farming and production base. In an industry characterise report allows for performance assessment by sector. The next publication in this series is planned in 2012 (biennial since the inaugural report in 2008) as a means of invaluable and realistic market information.

EPrint Type: Report

Keywords: organic; Australia; market research; figures

Subjects: "Organics" in general > Country reports > Australia

Research affiliation: Australia > University of New England
Australia > Other organizations

ISSN: 1836-0149

Related Links: <http://www.bfa.com.au/>

Deposited By: Kristiansen, Dr Paul Erik

ID Code: 19267

11. *Consider whether any copyright needs to be clarified*, i.e. if the eprint is a journal article and there are no restrictions, is it an Open Access journal or has the author paid for Open Access? If in doubt, ask the author.
12. *Are there any comments to the editors?* If so, forward the comments to Ilse. Sometimes information entered in the Comments actually belong in Additional publishing information. In that case, cut and paste.
13. *Check whether a document is attached.* This is not required for Project, Programmes, Organizations and Facilities. Check that it is the full document. For Books and Reports, which are meant to be sold, a document containing the first pages, the table of contents and preferably one or two chapters can be accepted. The full document can be attached with a restriction, but for Books and Reports this is not required. Information about where to purchase the book/report should be entered in the Additional publishing information if there is not a link to the relevant website. In the case of an annual report, the full document can be deposited with a restriction and an embargo until the next report is published.
14. *Check that the file can be opened and read.* If not, request a new file from the author or depositor.
15. *Check that the file attached corresponds to the information about the eprint.* Sometimes when people upload a lot of documents, they mix them up.
16. *Check that the file(s) attached have the type and language shown.*
17. *Make sure that the file name does not contain non-standard characters* (such as ü, ø, å) that may hinder readers from accessing the file.

18. *If there is a restriction on access to the file, make sure there is a contact email address. If the eprint comes from an Open Access journal, ask the author if the restriction is necessary.*
19. *if possible, put an “Archived at” box with a link in the eprint. See separate fact sheet.*
20. *Check one last time that everything looks correct in the “Preview” tab.*

If everything is correct, click “Move to repository”. The eprint then becomes visible in the live archive.

This item is in review. It will not appear in the repository until it has been checked by an editor.

Move to Repository

Destroy item (with notification)

Return item (with notification)