

Forage legume silage and cold-pressed rapeseed cake for dairy bull calves

B. Johansson¹ and A. Hesse¹

¹*Department of Animal Environment and Health, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, P.O. Box 234, S-53223 Skara, Sweden, (Birgitta.Johansson@slu.se)*

Implications

Rearing of organic dairy bull calves can be contradictory, using calves with a high need for quality protein at the same time as a high intake of forage (>60% up to 6 months of age) is required. Forage legumes contain more crude protein than grass, but with high rumen degradability of the protein. When legume forage is fed together with energy-rich cold-pressed rapeseed cake (CRC) the protein in the feeds can be utilized to a higher extent and a satisfactory calf weight gain can be achieved as shown. Using locally produced protein feeds instead of the often used soya bean meal (SBM) is of great interest not only in organic but also in conventional feeding because of environmentally advantages.

Background and objectives

Organic production aims at feeding high levels of forages to ruminants. Calves need high concentrations of protein with high protein quality in their feed ration for proper growth. Forage and grain legumes as well as rapeseed products can be used. Forage legumes have many advantages, not at least environmentally, but feeding forage legumes only may result in low weight gain as the protein in forages have a high proportion of rumen degradable protein. However, feeding forage legume silage has been shown to increase dry matter intake (DMI) and an accompanying higher live weight gain (LWG) in growing cattle compared to grass silage (Dewhurst et al. 2009). Soya bean meal is widely used in the world as a protein feed of good nutritional quality but the ethics around the cultivation of the beans are often questioned and, furthermore, hexane extracted soya beans are not allowed in organic production. The objective of the study was to compare DMI, LWG and feed efficiency (FE) in calves fed two levels of forages with high inclusion of red clover together with rapeseed cake vs. soya bean meal.

Key results and discussion

Feeding clover/grass silage with a small amount of CRC (CGRS) resulted in lower DMI, LWG and FE than feeding a greater amount of CRC (CGRG) or the SBM diet (Table 1). Feeding the calves CGRG gave the same DMI as the SBM diet, but lower LWG and FE. The intakes of metabolisable energy (ME), crude protein (CP) and neutral detergent fibre (NDF) were the same for CGRG and SBM calves but the intake of NDF in percentage of live weight was higher for the CGRG calves, which might have reduced their total intake in comparison to the SBM calves. The diets in the trial were not balanced to be isonitrogenic as we wanted to test the possible weight gain with feeding high levels of forage, and the forage percentage was 54, 66 and 84 for the SBM, CGRG and CGRS calves, respectively. The forage level in CGRG is consistent with organic standards and the daily LWG was just 0.13 kg lower with rapeseed cake and clover/grass silage as the only protein source, than the LWG in SBM calves.

The fact that calves fed CGRG had the same intakes of ME and CP as SBM calves, but lower LWG, is probably due to the high CP degradability in the rumen of the clover/grass silage. Feeding greater amounts of CRC gave a higher LWG than when calves were fed small amounts of CRC and it is possible that the rumen microbes of the CGRG calves produced more microbial protein that could be enzymatically degraded and absorbed in the small intestine. Feeding energy and protein at the same time is a way to optimize the protein utilisation (Børsting et al. 2003). In the present study total mixed ration feeding was used and thus energy and protein were offered simultaneously. Also, if the CP concentration in the clover/grass silage had been higher the LWG probably had been higher. Rumen function, health and profitability of the calves will be analysed. A new set

of calves will be studied in 2013 to find the optimal combination of forage legume silage, CRC and field beans.

Table 1. Average daily intake, average daily live weight gain and feed efficiency of bull calves fed diets containing either clover/grass silage with smaller amount of cold-pressed rapeseed cake (CGRS), clover/grass silage with greater amount of cold-pressed rapeseed cake (CGRG), or soya bean meal (SBM), means and standard error of the means (SEM)

	CGRS	CGRG	SBM	SEM	P^1
Intake of dry matter, kg day ⁻¹	3.95a	4.94b	4.99b	0.141	***
Intake of dry matter, % of live weight	3.12a	3.02a	2.83b	0.048	**
Intake of NDF, kg day ⁻¹	1.45a	1.74b	1.77b	0.048	**
Intake of NDF, % of live weight	1.11a	1.03b	0.97c	0.016	***
Intake of ME, MJ day ⁻¹	45.6a	60.8b	63.1b	1.76	***
Intake of crude protein, g day ⁻¹	581a	722b	778b	22.5	***
Live weight gain, kg day ⁻¹	0.717a	1.147b	1.279c	0.044	***
Feed efficiency, g gain MJ ⁻¹ ME	15.7a	18.9b	20.2c	0.29	***

¹** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, values on the same row that are significantly different ($P < 0.05$) have different superscripts (a, b, c).

How work was carried out?

The experiment was carried out at Götala Beef and Sheep Research Station, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU), Skara. Dairy bull calves (79 Swedish Red and Swedish Holstein) were used in a randomized design. The protein feeds studied were red clover (*Trifolium pratense*)/grass silage (50% each) combined with either smaller amounts of CRC (treatment CGRS; 0.20 kg per animal and day) or greater amounts of CRC (treatment CGRG; 0.46 kg per animal and day), which were compared to imported SBM. The DMI and FE were recorded at a pen level (four pens, each with seven calves, per treatment) while LWG was recorded on the individual calves. The calves were weighed regularly and averaged 94 kg in live weight at the start of experiment, and ended simultaneously at 202, 267 and 290 kg for CGRS; CGRG and SBM, respectively. A total mixed ration consisting of grass silage (90% grass, 10% clover), rolled barley and vitaminised minerals, together with either CGRS, CGRG or SBM, was fed to the calves. Feed was offered *ad libitum* once a day. Diets were rebalanced four times according to changed nutrient requirements during time and subsequent increased live weight. Nutrient composition in DM of the grass silage (124 g CP) the clover/grass silage (144 g CP) and concentrates (CRC 330 and SBM 523 g CP, respectively) were analysed by conventional methods. Analyses of DMI and FE were done with PROC GLM and the model included treatment, whereas PROC MIXED was used for analyses of LWG and the model included treatment and calf nested within pen (SAS 2003).

References

- Børsting CF, Kristensen T, Misciattelli L and Hvelplund T 2003. Reducing nitrogen surplus from dairy farms. Effects of feeding and management. *Livest. Prod. Sci.* 83: 165–178.
- Dewhurst RJ, Delaby L, Moloney A, Boland B and Lewis E 2009. Nutritive value of forage legumes used for grazing and silage. *Irish j. Agric. Food Res.* 48: 167–187.
- SAS 2003. User's Guide. Release 9.1 Ed. Cary, NC, USA. SAS Institute Inc.